Council on International Education 2019-2020

Meeting Minutes 2-19-20

2:00-2:50 pm - Stipes 501

Attending: Miguel Narváez (chair), Christie Carmark (ex-officio for Mark Mossman), Samit Chakaravorti, Ute Chamberlin, Jasmeet Chawla (student), Shankar Ghimire, Krista Bowers Sharpe, Ricardo Sepúlveda

Meeting items

- 1. Recorder: Ricardo Sepúlveda
- 2. Student members
 - -Jasmeet Chawla (present)
- 3. Approval of Minutes (January 22)
 - -Ute's name is misspelled, Shankar will correct

Old Business

- 4. Syllabi Report
 - -Some issues accessing spreadsheet, but it was solved
 - -Miguel will report findings next time we meet
- 5. Data Update
 - a. Assign roles/date
 - b. Enrollment
 - c. Grades
 - -Angela Lynn will provide information, Miguel will report.
 - -Info to gather: GI course #, student and grades breakdown (A-F), no gender information to be collected
 - -Questions:

How do we analyze/breakdown the data?

type of course, level of difficulty, high/medium/low?

by categories or levels? (e.g. 100, 200, 300, etc.)

Shankar will create collaborative spreadsheet to help us compare courses How do we assess the effectiveness of the course?

Do we consider the amount of GI courses taken by the student?

Could we survey the students' self-reported experience in the course?

Is it this what course evaluations do?

Course evals are not standardized across campus

-Course assessment should be by , not by course section?

What would we like to get out of the final report?

Should we compare GI courses across colleges?

Should we compare discipline-specific GI courses?

-What is the Senate charge to CIE?

"Are GI courses effective?"

- -We can't measure fully the of a course (teaching/learning outcomes) based only on the information on the syllabi
- -Should we use the of inclusion of objectives and goals as a measure for assessment of "efficiency?"
- -Should we get the original course design form (proposal submitted to the Senate for course approval) and use it as a reference to compate#or t